

I IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

J.R., INDIVIDUALLY)
AND ON BEHALF OF MINOR T.A.,)
)
D.C. KinCare Alliance)
1101 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 450)
Washington, D.C. 20036)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA)
One Judiciary Square)
441 Fourth Street, NW)
Washington, D.C. 20001)

SERVE:)

MURIEL BOWSER)
Mayor of the District of Columbia)
1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, 4th Fl.)
Washington, D.C. 20004)

BRIAN SCHWALB)
Attorney General for D.C.)
441 4th Street NW, Suite 630 South)
Washington, D.C. 20001)

and)

D.C. CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES)
AGENCY)
TANYA TRICE, Interim Director)
200 I Street, SE)
Washington, D.C. 20003)

Defendants.)

_____)
)
)
)

Civil Action No.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The District of Columbia is obligated under federal and D.C. law to safeguard children’s health and well-being, and to take necessary and appropriate steps to ensure that abused or neglected children who cannot be protected from harm in their home are placed in a safe environment and provided assistance, including financial support. Specifically, if the District of Columbia, through its agency, the Child and Family Services Agency (“CFSA”) (collectively, the “Defendants”), determines that a child has been neglected or abused and cannot be protected in the home by the provision of services, CFSA must remove the child from the unsafe home. Unless a parent consents to removal, CFSA must petition the Family Division of the D.C. Superior Court (“D.C. Family Court”) to initiate a neglect case and seek custody of the child so that the child can be placed in foster care.

2. CFSA must consider giving preference to an adult relative over a non-related caregiver when placing a child in foster care. When CFSA places a child with a relative, which is known as kinship placement or kinship foster care, CFSA is required to license the relative as a foster parent. Once the child has been placed with a licensed foster parent (related or nonrelated), the child is entitled to a number of services that help to ensure their continued health and safety, including continued court and CFSA supervision. Additionally, the foster parent is entitled to foster care maintenance payments to help alleviate the financial burden of caring for the child.

3. Despite these requirements, Defendants, for at least the last 14 years, have consistently and repeatedly engaged in the custom and practice of kinship diversion,¹ whereby Defendants remove

¹ Kinship diversion is also referred to as “hidden foster care.” See Josh Gupta-Kagan, America’s Hidden Foster Care System, 72 Stan. L. Rev. (2020).

children from the custody of their parents and informally place them in the care of a relative caregiver, rather than placing the child in foster care with that same relative. Unlike foster children and foster parents, Defendants do not provide diverted children and their relative caregivers with any services or foster care maintenance payments. By ignoring the legally required removal and placement procedures, Defendants avoid the legal and financial responsibilities to support these children and their relative caregivers. Indeed, on information and belief, Defendants have adopted this custom and practice of kinship diversion to relative caregivers, and now use it routinely, ***precisely to avoid those responsibilities***. The use of kinship diversion rather than kinship foster care placement deprives both child and caregiver of their rights to assistance, in violation of the United States Constitution, and federal and D.C. law.²

4. Plaintiff T.A. (“T.A.”), a four-year-old³ boy,⁴ is the biological child of his mother, C.A., and his father, T.B. After T.A.’s birth, his parents were unable to provide him with necessary and adequate care because of substance use and other issues. T.A. was placed in foster care with his godmother, Plaintiff J.R. (“J.R.”), until he was about two years old, when he was reunified with his mother. Approximately a year later, on or about November 29, 2022, T.A. and C.A. were involved in a car accident. C.A. was hospitalized with significant injuries and died on December

² Plaintiffs recognize certain similar claims were dismissed by the Court in *K.H. et al., v. District of Columbia*, Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss, Case No. 1:19-cv-03124-ACR, Dkt. No. 70 (May 31, 2024), a ruling that was applied to the consolidated complaints. *Id.* Plaintiffs assert the corresponding claims here to preserve them for any appeal.

³ T.A. will turn five within days of this filing.

⁴ Pursuant to Rule 5.2(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 5.4(f) of the Local Rules of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, the minor plaintiff T.A. is identified by his initials. Plaintiffs have filed a motion and accompanying memorandum requesting leave of the Court for J.R. and T.A.’s biological mother and father to be identified anonymously and for Plaintiffs’ residential addresses to be substituted with the address of their counsel, D.C. KinCare Alliance.

23, 2022. Following the accident, T.B. indicated to J.R. and CFSA that he did not want to care for T.A.

5. On December 9, 2022, Defendants informally and illegally placed T.A. with J.R. through kinship diversion. Defendants did so even though J.R. was already a licensed foster parent, had served in that capacity for T.A., and asked CFSA to place T.A. in foster care with her.

6. J.R. has continued to care for T.A. on a daily basis since Defendants effected the kinship diversion on December 9, 2022, except for a two-month period in early 2023. During this time, Defendants have failed to provide any support or services to J.R. or T.A. Further, Defendants failed to make foster care maintenance payments to J.R. on behalf of T.A.

7. Defendants' failures have resulted in withheld foster care support services and financial losses from foster care maintenance payments that otherwise would have been paid in the amount of \$1,140 per 30-day period—a total of approximately \$20,039 to date—as well as other amounts to be determined at trial.

8. As a direct consequence of Defendants' conduct, J.R. and T.A. (collectively, the "Plaintiffs") have been and continue to be denied the full services, economic benefits and other rights to which they are entitled under federal and D.C. law.

9. Moreover, Defendants have violated federal and D.C. law by discriminating against Plaintiffs by treating the relative caregiver and child under her care differently and less supportively than Defendants treat children in licensed foster care and their foster parents.⁵

10. This action seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as damages, to redress the violations of federal and D.C. law.

⁵ See *supra* note 2.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(3) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 because this action arises under the Constitution and the laws of the United States. Specifically, this action arises under Title IV-E of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 670-679c (“Social Security Act”), and the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

12. Plaintiffs’ claims for declaratory relief and damages are authorized by 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201–2202 and 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and by the inherent powers of this court in law and in equity.

13. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs’ pendent state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

14. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because all of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs’ claims occurred in D.C.

III. PARTIES

15. Plaintiff T.A. is a minor child who was placed via kinship diversion in the care of his godmother, J.R. As the legal custodian of T.A., Plaintiff J.R. brings this action on her own behalf and on behalf of T.A.

16. Plaintiffs are citizens of the United States and have been D.C. residents at all relevant times.

17. Defendant District of Columbia is the government of D.C. Defendant District of Columbia operates and has oversight over Defendant CFSA.

18. Defendant CFSA is a cabinet-level agency of the District of Columbia charged with administering the foster care system and ensuring the safety and well-being of children residing within D.C. CFSA is responsible both for responding to and investigating reports of child abuse and neglect and for removing and placing neglected or abused children who cannot be protected in their own home.

IV. FEDERAL AND STATE LAW GOVERNING DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA'S OBLIGATIONS TO ABUSED AND NEGLECTED CHILDREN AND THEIR CAREGIVERS

19. By acting through CFSA to effect kinship diversions without following the legally required procedures for removal and foster care placement, Defendant District of Columbia has violated and continues to violate the Social Security Act, the D.C. Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect Act of 1977 (“D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act”), the D.C. Human Rights Act of 1977 (“D.C. Human Rights Act”), and the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment rights of the Plaintiffs.

20. By effecting kinship diversions without following the legally required procedures for removal and foster care placement, Defendant CFSA has violated and continues to violate the Social Security Act, the D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act, the D.C. Human Rights Act, and the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.

A. Obligations Under The Federal Social Security Act

21. The Social Security Act establishes a federal-state grant program that is designed to ensure that abused and neglected children who cannot be protected from harm in their homes are placed in a safe and stable environment until those children are able to return home safely or are placed in another permanent living arrangement.

22. The Social Security Act requires any “State”⁶ that opts to receive Title IV funds to have a plan approved by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and to agree to administer its foster care program in accordance with the statutory requirements and implementing regulation, which, in pertinent part:

- (a) require that reasonable efforts be made to preserve and reunify families by seeking to prevent or eliminate the need for removing the child from their home prior to

⁶ Under the Act, the term “State” includes the District of Columbia. 42 U.S.C. § 603(b)(7).

placement in foster care and to make it possible for the child to safely return to their home, and, if the family cannot safely be reunified, require that steps be taken to finalize a permanent placement for the child, 42 U.S.C. § 671(a)(15)(B)–(C);

- (b) require that the removal of a child from their home is in accordance with a judicial determination that continuation in the home would be contrary to the welfare of the child or with a voluntary placement agreement, and require that the child is placed in a foster family home or child care institution that meets the standards for foster family homes or child care institutions and has been licensed or approved by the State, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(10), 672(a)(2), 672(c);
- (c) require that due diligence be exercised to provide notice to adult relatives within 30 days of effecting a removal, which, *inter alia*, must “explain[] the options the relative has under Federal, State, and local law to participate in the care and placement of the child, including any options that may be lost by failing to respond to the notice” and “describe[] the requirements...to become a foster family home and the additional services and supports that are available for children placed in such a home,” 42 U.S.C. § 671(a)(29);
- (d) require that children in foster care receive quality services to protect their health and safety, 42 U.S.C. § 671(a)(22);

- (e) require that foster care maintenance payments⁷ be made on behalf of each child who has been removed from their home, if the child “would have met the [TANF]⁸ eligibility requirements” prior to being removed, 42 U.S.C. § 672(a)(1);
- (f) provide for the development of a “case plan” for each child in foster care that, *inter alia*, ensures that services are provided to the child, parents and caregivers in order to “facilitate return of the child to his own safe home or the permanent placement of the child, and address the needs of the child while in foster care” and includes the child’s health and education records, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(16), 675(1); and
- (g) require that each case plan be reviewed at least every six months by a court or administrative body under the State’s “case review system” to assess, *inter alia*, the child’s safety, the continuing need for and appropriateness of the placement, and the likely date by which the child may be safely returned to their home or be placed for adoption or legal guardianship, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(16), 675(5).

23. District of Columbia has opted to receive Title IV-E funds and, accordingly, is bound by each of the foregoing requirements with respect to the provision of benefits and services to each abused and neglected child.

⁷ Foster care maintenance payments are defined as payments which “cover the cost of (and the cost of providing) food, clothing, shelter, daily supervision, school supplies, a child’s personal incidentals, liability insurance with respect to a child, reasonable travel to the child’s home for visitation, and reasonable travel for the child to remain in the school in which the child is enrolled at the time of placement.” 42 U.S.C. § 675(4)(A).

⁸ TANF, which stands for Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, is a federal program that provides assistance to families with children when the parents or other responsible relatives cannot provide for the family’s basic needs.

B. Obligations Under D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act

24. The D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act provides that CFSA shall, *inter alia*: (i) receive and respond to reports of child abuse and neglect;⁹ (ii) when necessary, remove children from their homes; (iii) ensure that children who have been abused or neglected are protected from further experiences and conditions detrimental to their healthy growth and development; (iv) obtain substitute care for a child whose parents are unable to meet the child’s minimum needs; (v) provide services and resources to abused and neglected children and their families, including services aimed at safely reuniting the family as quickly as possible; and (vi) ensure timely permanent placement of the child where reunification is not possible. D.C. Code § 4-1303.01a. *See also* § 4-1303.03 *et seq.*

25. Pursuant to its responsibility to receive and respond to reports of child abuse and neglect, CFSA is required to “conduct a thorough investigation of a report of suspected child abuse or

⁹ Under the D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act, child abuse includes the infliction of physical or mental injury upon a child, sexual abuse or exploitation of a child, and the negligent treatment or maltreatment of a child. D.C. Code § 16-2301(23)(A). The definition of neglected child includes a child:

- (i) who has been abandoned or abused by his or her parent, guardian, or custodian, or whose parent, guardian, or custodian has failed to make reasonable efforts to prevent the infliction of abuse upon the child; . . .
- (ii) who is without proper parental care or control, subsistence, education as required by law, or other care or control necessary for his or her physical, mental, or emotional health; . . .
- (iii) whose parent, guardian, or custodian is unable to discharge his or her responsibilities to and for the child because of incarceration, hospitalization, or other physical or mental incapacity; . . .
- (iv) whose parent, guardian, or custodian refuses or is unable to assume the responsibility for the child’s care, control, or subsistence and the person or institution which is providing for the child states an intention to discontinue such care; . . .
- (viii) who is born addicted to or dependent on a controlled substance or has a significant presence of a controlled substance in his or her system at birth.

D.C. Code § 16-2301(9)(A).

neglect to protect the health and safety of the child or children when . . . [CFSA] suspects a child is at imminent risk of or has experienced abuse or neglect that [CFSA] determines to be severe.” § 4-1301.04(a)(1). The investigation must determine “the nature, extent, and cause of the abuse or neglect, if any.” § 4-1301.06(a)-(b)(1).¹⁰ If the suspected abuse or neglect is substantiated, CFSA shall determine whether there is any child in the home whose health, safety, or welfare is at risk and whether any child who is at risk should be removed from the home or can be protected by the provision of resources. § 4-1301.06(b)(3).

26. When a report of child abuse or neglect is substantiated and CFSA determines the child cannot be “adequately protected” while living in the parental home through the provision of services, CFSA is only authorized to: “(1) Remove the child with the consent of the parent, guardian, or person acting in loco parentis; (2) Request the Corporation Counsel of the District of Columbia to petition the [D.C. Family Court] for a finding of abuse or neglect and, where appropriate, the removal of the child; and (3) Request the police to remove the child when the consent of a parent, guardian or other custodian cannot be obtained and the need to protect the child does not allow sufficient time to obtain a court order.” § 4-1303.04(c).¹¹

27. Once CFSA has removed a child from their home, CFSA is required to place the child with a licensed or approved foster parent.¹² § 4-217.02. For each child who is removed to foster care, CFSA must provide monetary benefits to the foster parent on behalf of the child if the child is

¹⁰ While CFSA is permitted 30 days to complete a full investigation, oftentimes the abuse or neglect is readily apparent and is effectively substantiated when the CFSA hotline is called, the social worker arrives at the scene, or at the family team meeting, as was the case here.

¹¹ A neglect case is distinct from a custody proceeding in D.C. Family Court under D.C. Code § 16-831.02, which does not afford the same processes or protections to the child being removed from their parent under D.C. Code § 16-2316.

¹² CFSA also may place the child in a child care institution if that “best meets” the child’s needs. § 4-217.02.

eligible for TANF and certain other criteria are satisfied. § 4-217.01. Although the statute prescribes certain eligibility requirements, CFSA's policy is to make "resource payments" on behalf of all children in the foster care system. *See, e.g., CFSA, Resource Parent Handbook* 93–95 (2018).

28. In addition, CFSA must prepare a case plan for the child and family and must take such steps (including, but not limited to, providing or arranging for appropriate services to the child and family) as are needed for the protection of the child and the preservation, rehabilitation and, when safe and appropriate, reunification of the family. D.C. Code § 4-1301.09(b). *See also* § 4-1301.02(3) (defining "case plan"). The case plan must include the child's health and education records, and CFSA is required to assist foster parents in obtaining personal records for foster children, including immunization records, birth certificate, social security card, or health insurance card. § 4–1301.02(3)(C); D.C. Mun. Reg. tit. 29 § 6003; CFSA, *Relationship with Resource Parents Policy* (effective Aug. 7, 2004).

29. CFSA must also ensure that the status of each child in foster care is reviewed periodically. D.C. Code § 4-1301.09(d). This review shall determine the safety of the child, the continuing necessity for and appropriateness of the placement, the extent of compliance with the case plan, the extent of progress towards alleviating or mitigating the causes necessitating foster care, and a projected date for returning the child safely to the home or placing the child for adoption or other permanent placement. § 4-1301.09(e)(1).

30. CFSA is required to make reasonable efforts to make it possible for a child in foster care to return safely to their home. § 4-1301.09a(b). In making such reasonable efforts, "the child's safety and health shall be the paramount concern." § 4-1301.09a(a). *See also* § 4-1303.03(d). If such reasonable efforts "are determined to be inconsistent with the child's permanency plan,

[CFSA] shall make reasonable efforts to place the child in accordance with the child’s permanency plan and to complete whatever steps are necessary to finalize the child’s permanent placement.”

§ 4-1301.09a(c).

C. Obligations Under D.C. Human Rights Act

31. The D.C. Human Rights Act states that it is “an unlawful discriminatory practice for a District government agency or office to limit or refuse to provide any facility, service, program, or benefit to any individual on the basis of an individual’s actual or perceived: . . . familial status.”¹³

§ 2-1402.73.

32. Pursuant to the D.C. Human Rights Act, CFSA may not unlawfully discriminate against diverted children and their relative caregivers by refusing to provide them with foster care benefits and services on the basis of familial status. Instead, CFSA must provide diverted children placed with relative caregivers who are seeking, or who already have, legal custody of those children the same services and support as it provides to foster families.

V. CUSTOM AND PRACTICE OF REMOVAL AND INFORMAL PLACEMENT THROUGH KINSHIP DIVERSION

33. Because Defendants receive money under Title IV-E of the Social Security Act, Defendants must operate the D.C. foster care program in accordance with the requirements under the Act, as well as the requirements under the D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act. Although receipt of these funds requires such compliance, Defendants’ custom and practice of kinship diversions is in blatant violation of those statutes.

¹³ The term ‘familial status’ is defined as “one or more individuals under 18 years of age being domiciled with: (1) a parent or other person having legal custody of the individual; or (2) the designee, with written authorization of the parent, or other persons having legal custody of individuals under 18 years of age. The protection afforded against discrimination on the basis of familial status shall apply to any person who is pregnant or in the process of securing legal custody of any individual under 18 years of age.” § 2-1401.02(11A).

34. As discussed above, when CFSA determines a neglected or abused child must be removed from their home, CFSA is only authorized to do so if CFSA obtains a judicial determination or parental consent to effect the removal, or requests the police to remove the child because there is insufficient time for CFSA to petition for removal. § 4-1303.04(c). These removal requirements “protect[] the integrity of established family units” and apply regardless of whether the child is placed with a relative or non-relative foster parent. *Miller v. Youakim*, 440 U.S. 125, 139 (1979).

35. Once the child has been removed from their home, the child must be placed in a licensed foster family home or licensed child care institution. CFSA has a formal procedure for placing the child with a relative foster parent, which CFSA refers to as a kinship placement. Prior to effecting a kinship placement, CFSA is required to issue the relative with whom the child is being placed a license (or a temporary license) to operate a foster home. D.C. Mun. Regs. tit. 29 §§ 6027.1, 6028. A godparent, as a “fictive kin,” is bestowed the same rights as a relative in a kinship placement. CFSA, Grandparent Caregivers Program Policy, (effective April 26, 2019). Even though the foster parent is a relative of the child, such licensure is necessary “to assure that each child in [CFSA’s] care and custody has a placement that meets their needs for safety, permanence, and well-being.” CFSA, Temporary Licensing of Foster Homes for Kin Policy, (effective Sept. 20, 2011). This also ensures “the same level of protection for all children who are placed in out-of-home care” and entitles both the foster parent and foster child to the same services and benefits afforded to other foster parents and children who are not related. *Id.*

36. Despite the fact that there are legally established procedures and requirements for effecting a kinship placement, CFSA has instead adopted the custom and practice of informally and illegally placing abused and neglected children with relatives through *kinship diversion*, without issuing

licenses to the caretaker relatives. Specifically, after CFSA has substantiated¹⁴ a report of child abuse or neglect and determined the child cannot be protected in their home through the provision of services, CFSA will contact a relative to see if they are willing to care for the child. If CFSA identifies a willing relative that is available to care for the child, CFSA deliberately ignores its responsibility to inform the relative of their option to become licensed as a foster parent and typically directs or pressures the relative to file an emergency motion for legal and physical custody, including by threatening to place the child in foster care with a stranger if the relative does not agree to do so. Through such actions, CFSA informally and illegally places the child with the relative through kinship diversion, rather than removing the child in accordance with applicable law and formally placing the child with a relative who has been licensed as a foster parent.

37. CFSA's use of kinship diversion constitutes a *flouting* of D.C. law because it subverts the formally established procedures for removal and placements and thereby denies diverted children and their relative caregivers the same benefits, services, and protections that foster children and foster parents receive. For example, prior to placing a child in foster care, CFSA is required to, *inter alia*, perform a health and safety assessment of the foster parent's home and require the foster parent to meet conditions related to the child's sleeping arrangement, health care, and education. However, when CFSA effects a kinship diversion, CFSA does not routinely conduct a home study to ensure that the relative caregiver's residence is safe, collect basic information about the relative

¹⁴ When CFSA engages in kinship diversion, the agency does not always accurately record that an allegation against a parent was substantiated, presumably because that would trigger legal requirements under federal and/or D.C. law for removal and placement of a child in licensed foster care if the child cannot remain safely at home. In this case, however, CFSA did substantiate a finding of neglect.

caregiver and others living in the home, or ensure the relative caregiver has the means to care for the child.

38. Furthermore, once the child has been informally placed in a kinship diversion arrangement, CFSA does not monitor the child or provide even minimal post-diversion services or support to the child, birth parent, or relative caregiver. Without CFSA oversight and accountability, the urgent needs of the child (*e.g.*, mental health, medical, and educational services), the birth parent (*e.g.*, mental health or substance abuse treatment, parent education classes), and the relative caregiver (*e.g.*, child care, peer support groups, respite) can go unmet, and there is no process for safely reunifying the child with their parents.¹⁵ Moreover, neither the diverted children nor the relatives responsible for their care receive financial support, such as foster care maintenance payments and vouchers for camps, enrichment programs, or other programs available to foster children. When relative caregivers seek such services or support by requesting to be licensed as a foster parent, CFSA also routinely denies their requests, even though the relative caregivers meet the requirements for licensure.

39. Upon information and belief, senior management of CFSA has long directed, knowingly acquiesced to and/or expressly endorsed this custom and practice, which allows CFSA to appear to meet certain statistical targets for reducing the number of children in foster care. These targets are not only arbitrary but provide CFSA with a perverse incentive to use kinship diversion, rather than formally remove and place children in foster care with the same relative caregivers. The custom and practice of kinship diversion has saved District of Columbia millions of dollars over

¹⁵ The Supreme Court has recognized that parents have a fundamental constitutional liberty interest in “the care, custody, and control of their children.” *Troxell v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57 (2000). Accordingly, when CFSA decides that a child should be removed from the parental home and cared for by a relative without parental consent or a court order, it also violates the parents’ constitutional rights.

at least the past 14 years by depriving its most vulnerable residents of resources to which they are legally entitled.

VI. DEFENDANTS' KINSHIP DIVERSION OF CHILDREN VIOLATES FEDERAL AND D.C. LAW

40. CFSA engaged in kinship diversion when it informally removed T.A. from his parent's care and illegally placed T.A. through kinship diversion in the care of J.R.

41. When T.A. was born in July 2019, he had a positive toxicology screen for PCP. CFSA was contacted by the hospital and opened a case. On October 24, 2019, CFSA received another report of alleged neglect involving T.A. CFSA found that T.A.'s parents had neglected him due to substance use (PCP) impacting parenting and removed T.A. from his parents' care. On October 25, 2019, a removal proceeding was initiated in D.C. Family Court.

42. Following removal, CFSA placed T.A. in foster care with his godmother J.R., who was already a licensed foster parent. J.R. is also the aunt and legal guardian of T.A.'s half-sister. T.A. remained in foster care with J.R. until he was about two years old. At that point, T.A. was reunified to live with C.A. in a residential program for mothers with substance use issues.

43. Approximately a year later, on or about November 29, 2022, C.A. was in a car accident, with T.A. and others in the car. As a result of the accident, C.A. was unconscious and was taken to the hospital where she was placed on life support. J.R. began to care for T.A. on or about December 1, 2022.

44. On December 9, 2022, J.R. and T.B. notified CFSA that C.A. was incapacitated and unable to meet T.A.'s needs and that T.B. was experiencing housing instability and did not want to care for T.A. CFSA convened a family team meeting and entered into an Intervention Plan with T.B. and J.R. Pursuant to this Intervention Plan—signed by CFSA social worker Krystine McDonald—T.B. agreed to permit J.R. to make decisions on T.A.'s behalf to ensure that T.A.'s medical and

educational needs were met. During the meeting J.R. requested that CFSA remove T.A. and formally place T.A. in kinship foster care with her. CFSA denied her request. CFSA informed J.R. that any custodial matters would need to be addressed in a custody proceeding in D.C. Family Court.

45. On December 15, 2022, J.R. filed a Complaint for Third-Party Custody and an Emergency Motion for Temporary Custody that alleged she had third-party standing because T.A. was in her care and exceptional circumstances existed such that relief was necessary to prevent harm to T.A., namely, that there was nowhere else for T.A. to live, and J.R. needed to take T.A. to the doctor and make school decisions. T.B. signed a Consent Answer providing revocable consent to J.R.'s request for custody of T.A. on December 31, 2022, which J.R. then filed with the Court.

46. On February 10, 2023, CFSA social worker McDonald informed J.R. that T.B. wanted to care for T.A. and that J.R. was required to return T.A. to CFSA so that T.A. could live with his father. McDonald, however, lacked any legal authority to require J.R. to return T.A. to T.B. J.R. complied with McDonald's request and gave T.A. to T.B. on February 10, 2023.

47. Custody proceedings between J.R. and T.B. ensued. During this time period, T.A. was living with T.B.'s mother pursuant to T.B.'s wishes. After efforts by T.B.'s mother to intervene in the case failed, T.B. stated that he was not seeking custody of T.A. On April 11, 2023, the court granted J.R. temporary sole legal and physical custody of T.A. following which T.A. returned to J.R.'s care. On May 2, 2024, the court granted J.R. permanent sole legal and physical custody of T.A.

48. J.R. has cared for T.A. since at least December 1, 2022, with the exception of the period between February 10, 2023 and April 11, 2023. CFSA failed to develop a case plan for T.A. and has not provided him with any services.

49. Further, Defendants failed to make foster care maintenance payments to J.R. on behalf of T.A. At all relevant times, T.A. was eligible to receive benefits under the TANF program and J.R. met the eligibility criteria to be licensed as a foster parent, and accordingly, J.R. was entitled to receive foster care maintenance payments on behalf of T.A. at the rate of \$1,140 per child per 30-day period, plus interest. J.R. has not received any foster care payments on behalf of T.A. and has been forced to shoulder all of the costs of caring for T.A, a substantial financial and emotional burden for her.

50. J.R. filed a Notice of Claim to the Claims Division of the D.C. Office of Risk Management on June 9, 2023 alerting the Office of her complaint against the District.

VII. CLAIMS

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF TITLE IV-E OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, 42 U.S.C. §§ 670–679c

51. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

52. The foregoing actions and inactions of Defendants District of Columbia and CFSA, constitute a deprivation of rights conferred on T.A. by Title IV-E of the Social Security Act, to:

- (a) removal from his home pursuant to a voluntary placement agreement or judicial determination that continuation in the home would be contrary to the welfare of the child, 42 U.S.C. § 672(a)(2);
- (b) placement in a home that meets the standards for foster family homes, and has been licensed or approved by the State, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(10), 672(c);¹⁶

¹⁶ See *supra* note 2.

- (c) the provision of quality services to protect the child's safety and health, 42 U.S.C. § 671(a)(22);¹⁷
- (d) a written case plan that describes the type of home in which the child is to be placed, including the safety and appropriateness of the placement, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(16), 675(1)(A);
- (e) a written case plan that ensures that the child receives safe and proper care while in foster care and implementation of that plan, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(16), 675(1)(B);
- (f) a written case plan that ensures provision of services to the child, parents and foster parents in order to facilitate reunification or where that is not possible, the permanent placement of the child, and to address the needs of the child while in foster care and implementation of that plan, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(16), 675(1)(B);
- (g) a written case plan that ensures the educational stability of the child while in foster care and implementation of that plan, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(16), 675(1)(G);
- (h) a case review system that ensures the child has a case plan designed to achieve placement in a safe and appropriate setting, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(16), 675(5)(A);
- (i) a case review system in which the status of the child is reviewed no less frequently than every six months by a court, or person responsible for case management, for purposes of determining the safety of the child, the continuing necessity for and appropriateness of the placement, extent of compliance with the case plan and projected date of permanency, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(16), 675(5)(B), 675(5)(C); and
- (j) foster care maintenance payments to cover the cost of food, clothing, shelter, daily supervision, school supplies, the child's personal incidentals, liability insurance

¹⁷ See *supra* note 2.

with respect to the child, reasonable travel to the child's home for visitation, and reasonable travel for the child to remain in the school in which the child is enrolled at the time of placement, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(1), 672(a)(1), 675(4)(A).

53. Further, the foregoing actions and inactions of Defendants District of Columbia and CFSA, constitute a deprivation of rights conferred on J.R. by Title IV-E of the Social Security Act, to:

- (a) receive notice that explains the options she has under Federal and D.C. law to participate in the care and placement of the child, including any options that may be lost by failing to respond to the notice, 42 U.S.C. § 671(a)(29);
- (b) receive notice that describes the requirements to become a foster family home and the additional services and support that are available for children placed in such a home, 42 U.S.C. § 671(a)(29); and
- (c) receive foster care maintenance payments on behalf of each child who has been removed from their home and placed in care to cover the cost of providing food, clothing, shelter, daily supervision, school supplies, the child's personal incidentals, liability insurance with respect to the child, reasonable travel to the child's home for visitation, and reasonable travel for the child to remain in the school in which the child is enrolled at the time of placement, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(1), 672(a)(1), 675(4)(A).

54. By its acts and omissions, in particular its custom and practice of kinship diversion, Defendants have deprived Plaintiffs of their rights under Title IV-E of the Social Security Act, and thereby caused injury to Plaintiffs, including financial and emotional harm.

55. Plaintiffs' claim for violation of Title IV-E of the Social Security Act is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which affords Plaintiffs a private right of action. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C.

§ 1983, Plaintiffs are entitled to compensation for such injuries and for the payment of reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and interest in an amount to be proven at trial.

**COUNT II: VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE
OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT AS APPLIED TO D.C. THROUGH THE DUE
PROCESS CLAUSE OF THE FIFTH AMENDMENT**¹⁸

56. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

57. As averred in Par. 44–49, Defendants, through their custom and practice of kinship diversion, have intentionally deprived Plaintiffs of their rights under the Social Security Act.

58. Further, through the custom and practice of kinship diversion, Defendants have deprived and continue to deprive T.A. of certain entitlements conferred by the D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act to which T.A. has a constitutionally protected interest. These entitlements include the right to:

- (a) be removed from his home once CFSA had determined that the child could not be adequately protected there through the provision of services, and to have such removal be made pursuant to a D.C. Family Court finding of abuse or neglect and removal or the consent of his parents, or by requesting the police to remove if there was insufficient time for CFSA to file a petition, § 4-1303.04(c);
- (b) be placed in a licensed or approved foster care home or facility, § 4–217.02;
- (c) receive appropriate services, §§ 4-1301.09(b), 4-1301.02(3);
- (d) receive a case plan and have his status reviewed periodically, §§ 4-1301.09(b), 4-1301.09(d), 4-1301.02(3); and

¹⁸ See *supra* note 2.

- (e) have monetary support provided by CFSA on his behalf, § 4-217.01, CFSA, *Resource Parent Handbook* 93–95 (2018).

59. In depriving T.A. of his rights under the Social Security Act and the D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act, Defendants have failed to provide the same procedures, services, and support as Defendants provide to other children who have experienced a similar type and severity of mistreatment. Defendants' discriminatory treatment is not rationally related to advancing any legitimate governmental interest and therefore violates the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution as applied to D.C. through the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment.

60. In depriving J.R. of her rights under the Social Security Act, Defendants have failed to provide the same procedures, services, and support as Defendants provide to foster parents caring for children who experienced a similar type and severity of mistreatment. Defendants' discriminatory treatment is not rationally related to advancing any legitimate governmental interest and therefore violates the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution as applied to D.C. through the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment.

61. Defendants' acts and omissions complained of herein have caused the violation of Plaintiffs' constitutional rights and caused injury to Plaintiffs, including financial and emotional harm.

62. Plaintiffs' claim for violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which affords Plaintiffs a private right of action. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Plaintiffs are entitled to compensation for such injuries and for the payment of reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and interest in an amount to be proven at trial.

**COUNT III: VIOLATION OF THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF THE FIFTH
AMENDMENT**¹⁹

63. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

64. As averred in Par. 59, Defendants, through their custom and practice of kinship diversion, have intentionally deprived T.A. of his rights under the Social Security Act, and the D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act to which T.A. has a constitutionally protected interest, without providing an adequate or meaningful opportunity to be heard.

65. As averred in Par. 60 Defendants, through their custom and practice of kinship diversion, have intentionally deprived J.R. of her rights under the Social Security Act, including the right to receive foster care maintenance payments, without providing an adequate or meaningful opportunity to be heard.

66. In each instance, Defendants deprived Plaintiffs of the rights and entitlements afforded to them under the Social Security Act and the D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act, without providing an opportunity for a fair hearing, thereby denying Plaintiffs of their right to due process of law.

67. Defendants' acts and omissions complained of herein have violated the Plaintiffs' rights under the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and caused injury to Plaintiffs, including financial and emotional harm.

68. Plaintiffs' claim for violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which affords Plaintiffs a private right of action. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Plaintiffs are entitled to compensation for such injuries and for the payment of reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and interest in an amount to be proven at trial.

¹⁹ See *supra* note 2.

COUNT IV: VIOLATION OF D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT²⁰

69. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

70. Under the D.C. Human Rights Act, it is “an unlawful discriminatory practice for a District government agency or office to limit or refuse to provide any facility, service, program, or benefit to any individual on the basis of an individual’s actual or perceived . . . familial status. . . .” D.C. Code § 2-1402.73.

71. CFSA removed T.A. and informally placed him in the care of J.R. through kinship diversion. In the course of effecting the kinship diversion, CFSA caused J.R. to file for legal custody of T.A. Although J.R. requested that CFSA allow her to apply to be a foster parent and thereby receive foster care maintenance payments on T.A.’s behalf and other benefits, CFSA denied this request. Further, CFSA failed to provide any benefits and services to T.A. or J.R., which has caused them significant financial and emotional harm.

72. CFSA has intentionally discriminated against Plaintiffs based on familial status by refusing to provide the same benefits and services, including the tangible benefit of foster care maintenance payments, it provides to foster families. CFSA’s decision to selectively deny Plaintiffs the opportunity to receive these services and support is based solely on familial status. Accordingly, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs by denying them the tangible benefit of foster care maintenance payments based on the Plaintiffs’ status in a protected class.

73. As a consequence of Defendants’ acts or omissions, Plaintiffs have sustained damages and will sustain future damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

²⁰ See *supra* note 2.

COUNT V: NEGLIGENCE

74. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

75. The D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act creates a special relationship between Defendants and D.C.'s abused and neglected children, imposing on Defendants statutorily defined duties and responsibilities to, *inter alia*, "safeguard[] the rights and protect[] the welfare" of those children. D.C. Code § 4-1303.01a. At all times Defendants owed T.A. the duty to act with due care.

76. Once Defendants identified T.A. as a child who had been abused or neglected and who could not be adequately protected in the parental home through the provision of services, Defendants owed a legal duty to T.A. to act with due care in fulfilling their duties and responsibilities under the D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act to:

- (a) remove T.A. from his home in compliance with statutorily mandated safeguards, § 4-1303.04(c);
- (b) ensure that T.A. was placed in a licensed or approved foster family home, §§ 4-217.02, 16-2313;
- (c) provide or arrange for appropriate services for T.A., §§ 4-1301.09(b), 4-1301.02(3);
- (d) prepare a case plan for T.A. and his caregiver and ensure their status was reviewed periodically, §§ 4-1301.09(b)-(d), 4-1301.02(3);
- (e) conduct or arrange for periodic visits of T.A.'s placement to ensure such placement continued to be in the child's best interest, 42 U.S.C. §§ 671(a)(16), 675(5)(A)(ii); and
- (f) provide monetary support on behalf of T.A., § 4-217.01, CFSA, *Resource Parent Handbook* 93–95 (2018).

77. Defendants' failure and refusal to properly discharge these responsibilities constitutes a breach of Defendants' duty of care.

78. Defendants' breach of duty proximately caused injury to T.A. in the form of emotional and financial harm, which injury was reasonably foreseeable.

COUNT VI: FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION

79. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

80. CFSA, through its agents, made false representations to J.R. about her rights and responsibilities regarding T.A., or willfully omitted material facts about those rights and responsibilities. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 671(a)(29), Defendants had a statutory duty to explain to J.R. the options to participate in T.A.'s care and placement, and Defendants' failure to provide such explanation constitutes a breach of this duty to disclose. CFSA's agents knowingly made these false statements or willful omissions, or concealed the truth knowingly and with the intent to induce reliance on their misrepresentations or willful omissions. J.R. reasonably and justifiably relied on the incomplete information and, as a result, took action that led to an egregiously unfair result for Plaintiffs.

81. On December 9, 2022, CFSA developed an Intervention Plan with J.R. and T.B. under which J.R. would care for T.A. CFSA informed J.R. that any custodial matters would need to be addressed in a custody proceeding in D.C. Family Court. CFSA concealed from J.R. her other options to participate in T.A.'s care and placement, including that CFSA could petition the D.C. Family Court for removal of the child and place him in foster care with J.R. When J.R., who had fostered T.A. and other D.C. children in the past, asked CFSA social worker Krystine McDonald if she could be licensed as a foster parent for T.A., McDonald told J.R. that she could not. J.R. reasonably relied on the misrepresentations or incomplete representations of CFSA and has

suffered emotional and financial harm as a result, in an amount of damages to be determined at trial.

COUNT VII: NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

82. Plaintiffs incorporate herein the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.

83. Alternatively, even if CFSA did not know their representations to J.R. were false and did not intend to deceive her, their misrepresentations were negligent.

84. As averred in Par. 81, the statements CFSA agents made to J.R. were false or omitted material facts, as CFSA failed to inform J.R. of her options to participate in the care of T.A. CFSA agents had a legal duty to disclose such information pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 671(a)(29). Those statements or concealments concerned the material issue of the actions J.R. needed to take in order to participate in the care of T.A. and receive benefits, services, and support for providing such care. J.R. reasonably relied on the advice of the CFSA agents to her detriment and suffered emotional and financial harm as a result, in an amount of damages to be determined at trial.

VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for the following relief:

- (a) Issuance of a declaratory judgment that Defendants' custom and practice of kinship diversion, in particular the informal removal and placement through kinship diversion of T.A. to J.R. violates Title IV-E of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 670–679c, the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment, the D.C. Child Abuse and Neglect Act, and D.C. Human Rights Act;
- (b) Entry of a permanent injunction preventing Defendants from retaliating against J.R. for seeking foster care maintenance payments;

- (c) Entry of a permanent injunction preventing Defendants from continuing the custom and practice of kinship diversion;
- (d) Award Plaintiffs compensatory damages in an amount equal to the total foster care maintenance payments that Plaintiffs would have received since the kinship diversion was effected, applying the current payment rate of \$1,140 per child per 30-day period, plus interest, and other damages attributable to Defendants' violation of federal and D.C. law;
- (e) Issuance of an order that, for so long as T.A. remains in the sole or primary physical custody of J.R., Defendants continue to pay to Plaintiffs an amount equal to foster care maintenance payments and other damages attributable to Defendants' violation of federal and D.C. law;
- (f) Award costs, and expenses for this action, including attorneys' fees; and
- (g) Award such further relief as this Court deems necessary, proper, and just.

A JURY TRIAL IS HEREBY DEMANDED.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ *Brendan Kearney*

Samantha Badlam (D.C. Bar # 977190)
Rebecca H. Williams (D.C. Bar # 229868)
Brendan M. Kearney (D.C. Bar # 1619200)
ROPES & GRAY LLP
2099 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, D.C. 20006
Telephone: (202) 508-4600
Facsimile: (202) 508-4650
samantha.badlam@ropesgray.com
rebecca.williams@ropesgray.com
brendan.kearney@ropesgray.com

Marla Spindel (D.C. Bar # 443306)
Stephanie McClellan (D.C. Bar # 485658)
D.C. KinCare Alliance

1101 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 450
Washington, D.C. 20006
marla@dckincare.org
stephanie@dckincare.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: July 26, 2024

<input type="radio"/> G. Habeas Corpus/ 2255 <input type="checkbox"/> 530 Habeas Corpus – General <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motion/Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detainee	<input type="radio"/> H. Employment Discrimination <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Civil Rights – Employment (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability, age, religion, retaliation) *(If pro se, select this deck)*	<input type="radio"/> I. FOIA/Privacy Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions (if Privacy Act) *(If pro se, select this deck)*	<input type="radio"/> J. Student Loan <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (excluding veterans)
<input type="radio"/> K. Labor/ERISA (non-employment) <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Labor Railway Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	<input type="radio"/> L. Other Civil Rights (non-employment) <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting (if not Voting Rights Act) <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Americans w/Disabilities – Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Americans w/Disabilities – Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<input type="radio"/> M. Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholder's Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contracts <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<input type="radio"/> N. Three-Judge Court <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Civil Rights Voting (if Voting Rights Act)

V. ORIGIN
 1 Original Proceeding
 2 Removed from State Court
 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
 4 Reinstated or Reopened
 5 Transferred from another district (specify)
 6 Multi-district Litigation
 7 Appeal to District Judge from Mag. Judge
 8 Multi-district Litigation Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.)
 228 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(3) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983; informal and illegal placement of abused and neglected children

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT	<input type="checkbox"/> CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	Check YES only if demanded in complaint YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instruction)	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, please complete related case form

DATE: 7/26/2024	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD:
-----------------	----------------------------------

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44
 Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and services of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below are tips for completing the civil coversheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the cover sheet.

- I. COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff/resident of Washington, DC, 88888 if plaintiff is resident of United States but not Washington, DC, and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States
- III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES: This section is completed only if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section II.
- IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT: The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the primary cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only one category. You must also select one corresponding nature of suit found under the category of the case.
- VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.
- VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12; DC 3/15) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

_____ District of _____

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

Other *(specify)*: _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: